

Public Engagement
Tuesday and Thursday 9:00 – 10:20

EVDP 631 H(3-0)
PF2165

Dr. Noel Keough
nkeough@ucalgary.ca. 403 220-8588
PF 4137, hours by appointment

Fall 2012

Introduction

This course is aimed at providing students with an overview of key principles, issues, and techniques of public involvement (PI) as it applies to planning. Through a review of selected readings, in-class discussion, guest lecture presentations and case studies, students will have the opportunity to gain an understanding of the purpose, fundamentals, and challenges of the public participation process from the point of view of community workers, volunteers, planners, and consultants.

Objectives

1. Students will be familiar with key theories supporting engagement and participation.
2. Students will be familiar with a variety of approaches to the design and execution of engagement processes employed in the public and civil society sectors.
3. Students will gain practical experience in engagement process analysis/critique and design.

Teaching Approach

I try to practice an engaging approach to teaching, employing participatory, popular education, and creative approaches; and providing students with a collegial, dialogue-centred, experiential educational environment. In this course we will explore the theoretical literature on participation and we will situate this theory within actual participatory processes ongoing and recently completed in various communities in Calgary. We will have significant opportunities for interaction with proponents, designers and facilitators of participatory and engagement tools and processes in the public and community sectors.

Content: Topic Areas and Detailed Class Schedule

Session 1: Tuesday, September 11: Course Introduction

Session 2: Thursday, September 13: Typologies of Participation

Session 3: Tuesday September 18: Gov. Engagement Processes: imagineCalgary

Session 4: Thursday September 20: Case Study: Sustainable Calgary

Session 5: Tuesday September 25. Case Study: Civic Camp

Public Engagement: Participation in Planning - EVDP 631 - Fall 2012

Session 6: Thursday September 27. Case Study: Bow to Bluff

Session 7: Tuesday October 2: Case Study: Genesis Centre 1000 Voices

Session 8: Thursday October 4: Case Study: Community Dev. Learning Initiative

Block Week: October 8 – 12

Session 9: Tuesday October 16: Popular Theatre/Education

Session 10: Thursday October 18: Popular Theatre/Education

Session 11: Tuesday October 23: Case Study: Participatory Budget, Deliberative Democracy, Transportation Planning

Session 12: Thursday October 25: Participation and Engagement Theory

Session 13: Tuesday October 30: Participation and Engagement Theory

Session 14: Thursday November 1: Participation and Engagement Theory

Session 15: Tuesday November 6: Neighbourhood Power: The Seattle Model

Session 16: Thursday November 8: Engage! And Transportation Planning

Reading Day: Tuesday November 13

Session 17: Thursday November 15: Group Presentation

Session 18: Tuesday November 20: Group Presentation

Session 19: Thursday November 22: Group Presentation

Session 20: Tuesday November 27: Group Presentation

Session 21: Thursday November 29: Group Presentation

Session 22: Tuesday December 4: Group Presentation

Session 23: Thursday December 6: Course Evaluation

Means of Evaluation

There will be no final exam. Students must obtain an overall passing grade to pass this course. Quality of writing (spelling, grammar, clarity) will be a component of the assessment of all assignments.

Assignment 1. Theory Paper	40%
Assignment 2. Public Process Critique	20%
Assignment 3. Public Process Design	40%

Public Engagement: Participation in Planning - EVDP 631 - Fall 2012

Grading Scale

Grade	Grade Point	4-Point Range	Percent	Description
A+	4.00	4.00	92.5-100	Outstanding - evaluated by instructor
A	4.00	3.85-4.00	85-92.49	Excellent - superior performance showing comprehensive understanding of the subject matter
A-	3.70	3.50-3.84	80-84.99	Very good performance
B+	3.30	3.15-3.49	76-79.99	Good performance
B	3.00	2.85-3.14	73-75.99	Satisfactory performance
B-	2.70	2.50-2.84	70-72.99	Minimum pass for students in the Faculty of Graduate Studies
C+	2.30	2.15-2.49	66-69.99	All final grades below B- are indicative of failure at the graduate level and cannot be counted toward Faculty of Graduate Studies course requirements.
C	2.00	1.85-2.14	63-65.99	
C-	1.70	1.50-1.84	60-62.99	
D+	1.30	1.15-1.49	56-59.99	
D	1.00	0.50-1.14	50-55.99	
F	0.00	0-0.49	0-49.99	

Notes:

- A student who receives a "C⁺" or lower in any one course will be required to withdraw regardless of their grade point average (GPA) unless the program recommends otherwise. If the program permits the student to retake a failed course, the second grade will replace the initial grade in the calculation of the GPA, and both grades will appear on the transcript.
- Final grades will be reported as letter grades, with the final grade calculated according to a 4-point range.
- Assignments will be evaluated by percentage grades with their letter grade equivalents as shown.

Readings

Design Activism: beautiful strangeness in a sustainable world, Alastair Fuad-Luke
Neighbourhood Power: Building Community The Seattle Way, Jim Diers

Arias Maldonado, Manuel. 2000. The Democratization of Sustainability: The Search for a Green Democratic Model. Environmental Politics, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 43-58.

Chambers, Robert. 1997. Learning To Learn, Chapter Six, in Whose Reality Counts? Putting the First Last, Intermediate Technology Publications, London

Coaffee Jon and Patsy Healey. 2003. 'My Voice: My Place': Tracking Transformations in Urban Governance. Urban Studies, Vol. 40, No. 10, pp. 1979-1999.

Public Engagement: Participation in Planning - EVDP 631 - Fall 2012

Foley, Griff. 2001, Radical Education and Learning. *International Journal of Lifelong Learning*. Volume 20, No. 1/2.

Friedman John. 1987. *Planning in the Public Domain: From Knowledge to Action*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

Harper and Stein. 2006. A Dialogical Planning Approach. Chapter 8 in *Dialogical Planning in a Fragmented Society*.

Irwin and Stansbury. 2004. Citizen Participation in Decision Making: Is It Worth the Effort? *Public Administration Review*, Volume 64, No. 1, pp. 55-65.

Kingwell, Mark. 2000. Right and Duties, Chapter 2 in *The World We Want: Vice, Virtue and the Good Citizen*. Penguin Books, Toronto.

Ludwig, Donald. 2001. The Era of Management is Over. *Ecosystems*, Vol. 4, pp. 758-764.

Mosse, David, 1994. Authority, Gender and Knowledge: Theoretical Reflections on the Practice of Participatory Rural Appraisal, *Development and Change*, Volume 25, No. 3, pp. 497 – 526.

Richardson, Sherman and Gismondi. 1992. Confronting Expert Knowledge in a Public Hearing Process: Local Knowledge and Environmental Assessment of a Pulp Mill in Alberta, Canada. *Proceedings of Learned Societies Annual Meeting*, Charlottetown, Canada.

Sullivan and Lloyd. 2006. The Forum Theatre of Augusto Boal: A Dramatic Model for Dialogue and Community-Based Environmental Science, *Local Environment*, Vol. 11, No. 6, pp. 627-646.

Taylor, Edward. 2001. Transformative Learning Theory: a neurobiological perspective of the role of emotions and unconscious ways of knowing. *International Journal of Lifelong Learning*, Volume 20, No. 3, pp. 218-236.

Supplementary Readings

Arnstein, S. R. 1969. "A Ladder of Citizen Participation", *American Institute of Planners Journal*, 35(4): 216-224.

Fischer, F. and J. Forester, *The Argumentative Turn in Policy Analysis and Planning*, Durham, NC. Duke University Press.

Keough, Noel. 2005. *Transformational Learning*, Chapter Five, Dissertation

Keough, Noel. 2005. *Sustainable Governance, Adaptive Management and Deliberative Policy-Making*, Chapter Nine, Section 4, Dissertation.

Keough, Noel. 1998. "Participatory development principles and practice: Reflections of a western development worker", *Community Development Journal* 33:187-196

Public Engagement: Participation in Planning - EVDP 631 - Fall 2012

Keough, Noel. 1997. "The Ecological Dimension of Freire's Conscientization", *Social Development Issues*, Vol. 19(2/3), pp. 157-168.

Korten, David. 1981. *The Management of Social Transformation*, in *Public Administration Review*, Vol 41, No. 6, p[p. 609-618.

Smith, Graham. 2003. Chapter 3: *Deliberative Democracy and Green Political Theory in Deliberative Democracy And The Environment*, Routledge, New York.

Notes:

1. Written work, term assignments and other course related work may only be submitted by e-mail if prior permission to do so has been obtained from the course instructor. Submissions must come from an official University of Calgary (ucalgary) email account.
2. It is the student's responsibility to request academic accommodations. If you are a student with a documented disability who may require academic accommodation and have not registered with the Disability Resource Centre, please contact their office at 220-8237. (<http://www.ucalgary.ca/drc/node/46>) Students who have not registered with the Disability Resource Centre are not eligible for formal academic accommodation. You are also required to discuss your needs with your instructor no later than fourteen (14) days after the start of this course.
3. Plagiarism - Plagiarism involves submitting or presenting work in a course as if it were the student's own work done expressly for that particular course when, in fact, it is not. Most commonly plagiarism exists when:(a) the work submitted or presented was done, in whole or in part, by an individual other than the one submitting or presenting the work (this includes having another impersonate the student or otherwise substituting the work of another for one's own in an examination or test),(b) parts of the work are taken from another source without reference to the original author,(c) the whole work (e.g., an essay) is copied from another source, and/or,(d) a student submits or presents work in one course which has also been submitted in another course(although it may be completely original with that student) without the knowledge of or prior agreement of the instructor involved. While it is recognized that scholarly work often involves reference to the ideas, data and conclusions of other scholars, intellectual honesty requires that such references be explicitly and clearly noted. Plagiarism is an extremely serious academic offence. It is recognized that clause (d) does not prevent a graduate student incorporating work previously done by him or her in a thesis. Any suspicion of plagiarism will be reported to the Dean, and dealt with as per the regulations in the University of Calgary Graduate Calendar.
4. Information regarding the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (<http://www.ucalgary.ca/secretariat/privacy>) and how this impacts the receipt and delivery of course material
5. Emergency Evacuation/Assembly Points (<http://www.ucalgary.ca/emergencyplan/assemblypoints>)
6. Safewalk information (<http://www.ucalgary.ca/security/safewalk>)

Contact Info for: Student Union (<http://www.su.ucalgary.ca/page/affordability-accessibility/contact>); Graduate Student representative (<http://www.ucalgary.ca/gsa/>) and Student Ombudsman's Office (<http://www.su.ucalgary.ca/page/quality-education/academic-services/student-rights>).